

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, ROOM 525 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-3873 PHONE: (213) 974-8301 FAX: (213) 626-5427

ASST. AUDITOR-CONTROLLERS

ROBERT A. DAVIS JOHN NAIMO JAMES L. SCHNEIDERMAN

WENDY L. WATANABE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

JUDI E. THOMAS CHIEF DEPUTY

September 14, 2012

TO:

Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky, Chairman

Supervisor Gloria Molina

Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas

Supervisor Don Knabe

Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

FROM:

Wendy L. Watanaber Mark Ly htalk

Auditor-Controller

SUBJECT:

COMMUNITY AND SENIOR SERVICES - REVIEWS OF WORKFORCE

INVESTMENT ACT (WIA) SERVICE PROVIDERS - FISCAL YEAR 2009-

10 SUMMARY REPORT

At the request of Community and Senior Services (CSS), we completed program, fiscal, and administrative contract compliance reviews of all 29 WIA Formula, American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA), and Dislocated Worker Governor's Economic Stimulus (Stimulus) service providers. The WIA Formula Programs assist individuals in obtaining employment, retaining their jobs, and increasing their earnings. The WIA ARRA and Stimulus Programs supplement the WIA Formula Programs.

CSS paid the 29 contractors a total of approximately \$47 million on a costreimbursement basis for Fiscal Year (FY) 2009-10. Our reviews covered a sample of transactions for each service provider from FY 2009-10.

Review Summary

We identified \$1,142,544 in questioned costs billed to the WIA Programs. Specifically:

 Twenty-six (90%) of the 29 contractors did not maintain proper documentation to support a total of \$685,508 in expenditures.

- Thirteen (45%) contractors had a total of \$244,773 on their final close-out invoices that did not reconcile to their accounting records.
- Eight (28%) contractors billed CSS a total of \$80,410 in unallowable expenditures.
- Fifteen (52%) contractors billed a total of \$75,175 in payroll expenditures based on budget, and not actual expenditures, that were not supported by the employees' timecards.
- Thirteen (45%) contractors did not have adequate documentation to support some participants' eligibility, resulting in a total of \$28,292 in questioned costs.
- Two (7%) contractors billed CSS a total of \$28,386 for 100% of the customized training costs for 32 participants when the employers are required to pay at least 50% of the training costs.

In addition, we noted that some WIA service providers did not always comply with all WIA and County contract requirements. Specifically:

- Twenty-three (79%) of the 29 contractors did not properly maintain participants' case files as required; or accurately update participants' information and activities on the Job Training Automation (JTA) System, Individual Employment Plan (IEP), Individual Service Strategy (ISS), or Employment Development Department (EDD) CalJOBS System as required.
- Twenty-six (90%) contractors did not always maintain adequate internal controls, such as depositing cash advances from CSS in a separate, FDIC insured, interest-bearing bank account; requiring two signatures on all checks; submitting invoices to CSS by the tenth calendar day following the month of service, etc.
- Twelve (41%) contractors' fixed asset inventory listings were incomplete and inaccurate.
- Ten (34%) contractors did not have all required documents in their employees' personnel files.

The contractors have submitted documentation to resolve \$1,107,745 (97%), leaving a balance of \$34,799 (\$1,142,544 - \$1,107,745). The contractors have also implemented 81 (28%) of the 287 recommendations from our reports.

Attachments I and II summarize the findings for each service provider.

Board of Supervisors September 14, 2012 Page 3

Review of Report

We discussed our reports with CSS and each WIA service provider. CSS management indicated they will resolve the remaining questioned costs and contract compliance issues in accordance with their Resolution Procedures Directive.

Because of the number of service providers, we have not attached copies of the individual reports. However, copies of the reports are available for your review upon request. Please call me if you have any questions, or your staff may contact Don Chadwick at (213) 253-0301.

WLW:JT:DC:EB:YP

Attachments

c: William T Fujioka, Chief Executive Officer Cynthia D. Banks, Director, Community and Senior Services Public Information Office Audit Committee

Community and Senior Services WIA Contract Reviews - Summary of Findings FY 2009-10

#	Service Providers	No. of Recommendations	Findings									·	Total Questioned
			А	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	ı	J Co	Costs
1	Antelope Valley Workforce Dev.	8		Х		\$251,067	(1)	Х				\$57,490	\$308,557
	Arbor E&T East LA	11	(1)	Х		\$5,699	(1)	Х	X	(1)		\$4,630	\$10,329
	Arbor E&T FE&B	9	(1)	Х		\$5,989		X					\$5,989
4	Asian American Drug Abuse Program	6		Х		(1)		Х	X				\$0
	Career Partner - W. San Gabriel Valley	11	(1)	Х		\$1,663		Х	Х	(1)	1		\$1,663
<u>~</u> _	Catholic Charities of Los Angeles	5				\$43,487	(1)	×				\$3,412	\$46,899
	Chicana Service Action Center, Inc.	10		Х		\$24,473		Х	×	\$1,154			\$25,627
	City of Compton-Careerlink	17	(1)	X		\$2,752		Х	×	\$15,307	Х	\$11,469	\$29,528
9	City of Palm dale	17		Х		\$10,715		Х	×	\$1,600	Х		\$12,315
10	Comprehensive Community Services Formaly CIS	5		х		\$1,328				(1)			\$1,328
11	Community Career Development	13	(1)	Х		\$20.883		Х	×	\$20,358			\$41,241
	Door of Hope	11		Х		(1)		Х		\$2,238		\$3,708	\$5,946
	East San Gabriel Valley - LA Works	4				\$125	\$77,288	Х			X		\$77,413
14	Goodwill Industries for Southern CA	5		Х		\$1,704		Х		(1)	X	\$2,917	\$4,621
15	Goodwill Industries - El Monte	22	\$12,953	Х		\$58,649		Х	Х	\$1,912		\$11,531	\$85,045
	Hub Cities WorkSource Center	16	\$6,484	X		\$176,374		Х		\$1,035	X	\$1 23,741	\$307,634
17	Jewish Vocational Service West Hollywood	7		х				х				\$3,375	\$3,375
18	Jewish Vocational Service West LA	3				(1)		Х					\$0
19	LACCD / L.A. Mission College	5		Х		\$10,572		Х			Х		\$10,572
20	Los Angeles County Office of Education	7	(1)	Х		\$1,651	(1)	Х					\$1,651
21	Los Angeles Urban League-Pomona	14	(1)	X		\$8,674	\$2,238	Х	X	\$15,001	Х		\$25,913
22	Los Angeles Urban League-South Central	18	\$8,855	х		\$13,916		х	×	\$16,570	х	\$3,605	\$42,946
23	Managed Care Solutions-West SGV	15		×	\$4,495	\$22,884	(1)	Х	×				\$27,379
	Managed Care Solutions-Northeast SGV	15		Х	\$23,891	\$10.022	\$884	Х		(1)	П		\$34,797
	Maravilla Foundation	0											\$0
26	Mexican American Opportunity Foundation	9	(1)			\$3,097		×		(1)		\$1,105	\$4,202
27		9	(1)	X					Х		Х	\$2,800	\$2,800
		5				(1)		Х					\$0
		10	(1)	X		\$9,784		X			X	\$14,990	\$24,774
	I compared to the compared to	287	\$ 28,292		\$ 28,386	\$685,508	\$ 80,410			\$ 75,175		\$ 244,773	\$1,142,544

Code Summary

- A Did not maintain adequate documentation that participants were eligible to receive Program services.
- **B** Did not accurately update participants' information and program activities on the Job Training Automation (JTA) System, and/or properly maintain the participants' case files as required.
- c Incorrectly billed CSS 100% of the customized training costs when employer is required to pay minimum 50% of the training costs.
- D Did not maintain proper documentation to support their Program expenditures.
- E Billed CSS unallowable and unreasonable expenditures.
- F Did not maintain adequate internal control and/or was not in compliance with WIA and County contract requirements.
- G Inventory listings were incomplete and inaccurate.
- H Billed payroll expenditures based on budget and not actual hours worked, and/or the employees' timecards did not support the payroll expenditures.
- I Did not maintain required documents in employees' personnel files.
- J Final Close-Out Invoices were not supported by the agency's accounting records.

Footnotes

(1) Questioned costs were immaterial.

Community and Senior Services WIA Contract Reviews - Summary of Questioned Costs FY 2009-10

# Service P	roviders Contra Amour		No. of Recommendations	No. of Implemented Recommendations	No. of Recommendations Outstanding	Total Questioned Costs Reported	Questioned Costs Collected or Resolved	Questioned Costs Outstanding
1 Antelope Valley Workfo	orce Dev. \$2,213	,575	8	5	3	\$308,557	\$308,557	\$0
2 Arbor E&T East LA	\$1,808	,875	11	2	9	\$10,329	\$10,329	\$0
3 Arbor E&T FE&B	\$672	.166	9	4	5	\$5,989	\$5,989	\$0
4 Asian American Drug A		,601	6	3	3	\$0	\$0	\$0
5 Career Partner - W. Sa		,253	11	6	5	\$1,663	\$1,663	\$0
6 Catholic Charities of Lo			5	1	4	\$46,899	\$46,899	\$0
7 Chicana Service Action			10	2	8	\$25,627	\$25,627	\$0
8 City of Compton-Caree	erlink \$2,145	378	17	4	13	\$29,528	\$27,545	\$1,983
9 City of Palmdale	\$1,274		17	2	15	\$12,315	\$12,315	\$0
10 Comprehensive Comm Formaly CIS	nunity Services \$251	,330	5	2	3	\$1,328	\$1,328	\$0
11 Community Career De	velopment \$614	,682	13	6	7	\$41,241	\$41,241	\$0
12 Door of Hope	\$241	,143	11	2	9	\$5,946	\$5,946	\$0
13 East San Gabriel Valle	y - LA Works \$5,918	,853	4	1	3	\$77,413	\$77,413	\$0
14 Goodwill Industries for	Southern CA \$424	,921	5	3	2	\$4,621	\$4,621	\$0
15 Goodwill Industries - E	i Monte \$4,096	,352	22	5	17	\$85,045	\$85,045	\$0
16 Hub Cities WorkSource	e Center \$5,985	,623	16	2	14	\$307,634	\$307,634	\$0
17 Jewish Vocational Ser Hollywood	vice West \$983	494	7	2	5	\$3,375	\$3,375	\$0
18 Jewish Vocational Ser	vice West LA \$953	,527	3	2	1	\$0	\$0	\$0
19 LACCD / L.A. Mission	College \$489	,451	5	5	0	\$10,572	\$10,572	\$0
20 Los Angeles County O	ffice of Education \$1,276	347	7	3	4	\$1,651	\$1,651	\$0
21 Los Angeles Urban Le	ague-Pomona \$2,095	,283	14	2	12	\$25,913	\$25,913	\$0
22 Los Angeles Urban Le	ague-South Central \$2,282	,843	18	1	17	\$42,946	\$42,946	\$0
23 Managed Care Solutio	ns-West SGV \$1,549	,946	15	3	12	\$27,379	\$27,379	\$0
24 Managed Care Solutio	ns-Northeast SGV \$654	,363	15	2	13	\$34,797	\$1,981	\$32,816
25 Maravilla Foundation	\$350	,867	0		0	\$0	\$0	\$0
26 Mexican American Op	portunity Foundation \$201	,897	9	1	8	\$4,202	\$4,202	\$0
27 SASSFA-Santa	\$3,858	088,8	9	6	3	\$2,800	\$2,800	\$0
28 Special Services for G	roups \$790	429	5	1	4	\$0	\$0	\$0
29 Watts Labor Communi	ty Action Center \$104	,941	10	3	7	\$24,774	\$24,774	\$0
	\$ 46,653,	809	287	81	206	\$1,142,544	\$1,107,745	\$34,799